

Tactical CA House Views

April 2026

Tactical CA House Views as of March 31, 2026

Our house views are aimed at generating value add over a three-year horizon. Differences in risk tolerance, time horizon, liquidity needs, currency exposure, and tax implications, as well as the potential for overlap with existing portfolio exposures, mean these views may not be suitable for all portfolios. Tactical positions should be sized modestly.

Overweight	Underweight	Key details	
California Carbon Allowance Futures (S&P Carbon Credit CCA Index)	Global Equities (MSCI All Country World Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Oct 31, 2021 23.3% 25 bps 110 bps
Developed Markets ex US Small-Cap Equities (MSCI World ex US Small Cap Index)	Developed Markets ex US Equities (MSCI World ex US Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Sep 30, 2023 6.9% 25 bps 360 bps
US Small-Cap Equities (S&P 600 Small Cap Index)	US Equities (MSCI US Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Apr 30, 2022 14.2% 25 bps 180 bps
Global ex US Equities (MSCI ACWI ex US Index)	US Equities (MSCI US Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	May 31, 2025 11.5% 15 bps 130 bps
Latin American Equities (MSCI Latin America Index)	EM Equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	June 30, 2025 26.8% 25 bps 90 bps
Global Equity Long/Short (ELS) Hedge Funds (Basket of Global ELS Hedge Funds)	40% Equities/60% Bonds (40% MSCI ACWI [Net]/60% US Aggregate Bond Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Sept 30, 2025 9.0% 25 bps 275 bps

Sources: Bloomberg Index Services Limited, Credit Suisse, IHS Markit, J.P. Morgan, MSCI Inc., and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided as is without any express or implied warranties. Notes: All performance data reflect the weighted return of the overweight position minus the underweight position, with both expressed in US dollars and net of dividend withholding taxes where applicable. A suggested active risk target of 25 bps aligns with typical allocations for active public managers. Tactical positions should be sized to complement, not overwhelm, the potential value add from managers.

Tactical CA House Views as of March 31, 2026 (cont.)

Our house views are aimed at generating value add over a three-year horizon. Differences in risk tolerance, time horizon, liquidity needs, currency exposure, and tax implications, as well as the potential for overlap with existing portfolio exposures, mean these views may not be suitable for all portfolios. Tactical positions should be sized modestly.

Overweight	Underweight	Key details	
Global ex US Treasuries (FTSE WGBI ex US Index)	US Treasuries (Bloomberg US Treasury Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	May 31, 2025 7.3% 15 bps 190 bps
UK Gilts (Bloomberg Sterling Gilts Index)	World Government Bonds (FTSE World Government Bond Index GBP Hedged Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Feb 28, 2026 7.8% 20 bps 250 bps
Current Coupon Agency MBS (ICE BofA US Current Coupon UMBS Index)	Investment-Grade Corporates (Bloomberg US Investment-Grade Corporate Bond Index)	Recommended since Expected tracking error Suggested active risk target Implied portfolio size	Oct 31, 2025 12.0% 25 bps 200 bps

Sources: Bloomberg Index Services Limited, Credit Suisse, IHS Markit, J.P. Morgan, MSCI Inc., and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided as is without any express or implied warranties. Notes: All performance data reflect the weighted return of the overweight position minus the underweight position, with both expressed in US dollars and net of dividend withholding taxes where applicable. A suggested active risk target of 25 bps aligns with typical allocations for active public managers. Tactical positions should be sized to complement, not overwhelm, the potential value add from managers.

Overweight California Carbon Allowances/Underweight Global Equities


Recommended since October 31, 2021

Investment thesis: We believe California Carbon Allowances (CCAs) will outperform global equities, given our expectation that supply/demand fundamentals will drive CCA prices up toward their first price containment tier. With CCAs priced near the program's floor, they offer highly asymmetric return potential. We prefer owning physical allowances over futures implementation. Regulated entities are allotted free allowances for a portion of their emissions and must purchase additional credits to satisfy remaining obligations.

Key support 1: On April 14, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) released its rulemaking package subject to a 15-day comment period, confirming a planned reduction of 118M CCAs between 2027 and 2030. The proposal will likely be voted on by CARB on May 28. These changes will increase expected CCA deficits, which in more mature carbon markets have typically led to price increases. Prices will receive an additional boost once it is joined with Washington state's program, which is expected by 2027.

CCA price compared to EU and price containment tiers

As of March 31, 2026 • US dollars




Key support 2: We anticipate that relative to equities, CCAs offer less downside risk with significantly more upside potential. The program includes a floor indexed by inflation plus 5% that limits downside risk, while reductions in supply relative to demand increase upside in contrast to global equities.

Key risks: Regulatory changes present the biggest risk to CCAs, although the program is well established and provides significant revenue to the state of California. Implementation delays and poor communication by CARB related to adoption of increased program stringency measures has put downward pressure on CCA prices. Therefore, we have extended the timeline by which prices are expected to increase in response to tightening supply as reflected in our return protections. CCAs can also experience short-term volatility related to technical issues in the options and futures markets. Finally, global equity performance may exceed our expectations. From an implementation perspective, rolling futures cost an estimated 1.5% annually, while options for owning physical allowances are limited.

Return projection scenarios: CCAs vs global equities

As of March 31, 2026 • 3-yr annualized average compound return (%)



Sources: Bloomberg L.P., MSCI Inc., and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Notes: LHS - Spot prices use near-month futures. Price tiers rise 5% plus US TIPS 10-yr breakeven inflation annually. Price containment tiers trigger extra allowances to hedge higher costs. RHS - CCAs: Downside = 2029 price floor; Base case = halfway to 2029 1st containment tier; Upside scenario = 2029 1st containment tier. For Global Equities, Deflation bust: normalized P/E drops 50%, nominal normalized earnings growth averages -2% YOY. Inflation bust: Same P/E drop, 6% average growth. Base case: P/E unchanged, growth reflects recent averages. Upside: P/E rises by a decile (or to all-time max if >90th %ile), 6% average growth.

Overweight Developed Markets ex US Small Caps/Underweight Developed Markets ex US Equities


Recommended since September 30, 2023

Investment thesis: We expect developed markets (DM) ex US small-cap equities will outperform their mid-/large-cap counterparts, given their low relative valuations and favorable fundamental outlook. DM ex US small caps perform best during economic upswings and appear well-priced for a recessionary scenario. Small caps outperformed in 2025 and early 2026 given their domestic orientation amid concerns over US tariff policy.

Key support 1: DM ex US small-cap equities trade at a 11% discount to their mid-/large-cap peers, according to our preferred normalized earnings multiple, compared to their typical 16% premium. Small caps have consistently outperformed mid-/large caps over subsequent three-year periods when starting valuations traded at a discount. Small caps are adequately priced for an economic downturn scenario, which should limit downside risk and support outperformance on a subsequent economic rebound. While momentum rebounded in 2025, upside potential remains, supported by the current economic environment.

Relative normalized valuations: DM ex US large/mid cap

May 31, 1999 – March 31, 2026



Sources: MSCI Inc. and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.


Notes: Relative normalized P/E data are monthly and are based on an adjusted price-to-cash earnings ratio. The cyclically adjusted price-to-cash earnings (CAPCE) ratio is calculated by dividing the inflation-adjusted index price by trailing five-year average inflation-adjusted cash earnings. Cash earnings are defined as net income from continuing operations plus depreciation and amortization expense. MSCI does not publish cash earnings for banks and insurance companies and therefore excludes these two industry groups from index-level cash earnings. Drawdown data are weekly and based on index price levels.

Key support 2: The fundamental outlook should support performance. While US tariff policy has increased uncertainty, non-US economic growth is expected to rebound over the next several years, which typically supports small-cap performance. Further, small caps are more domestically oriented than large caps, providing a buffer from further trade disruptions. On earnings, analysts expect EPS growth of 22% in the next 12 months, compared to about 12% for the mid- and large-cap universe. The expected earnings outperformance is broadly based across geographies and sectors.

Key risks: Global economic uncertainty and GDP growth downgrades would likely weigh on small caps, given they are cyclically oriented and have higher leverage, lower profitability, and usually underperform during broader equity market drawdowns. Beyond a cyclical slowdown, an exogenous shock, such as a protracted war in Iran, would also likely be a headwind. Alongside growth downgrades, the inflationary impact of energy price increases and currency drag from lower USD revenue exposure would weigh on relative performance, though a large materials overweight may provide mitigation.

Drawdown from rolling 3-yr high: DM ex US equity

January 1, 1993 – March 31, 2026 • US dollars • Percent (%)



Overweight US Small-Cap Equities/Underweight US Equities

Recommended since April 30, 2022

Investment thesis: We expect small-cap equities will outperform their large-cap counterparts in the United States given their historically large valuation discount. Weak earnings growth in recent years had weighed on performance, but small caps have outperformed large-cap peers since second half 2025 as earnings have rebounded. Downside risk exists for small-cap equities should earnings disappoint or geopolitical stress rise. However, as recent performance suggests, there could also be more upside for small companies from Fed easing and for sectors like industrials if efforts to boost US manufacturing bear fruit.


Key support 1: US small-cap valuations are steeply discounted relative to US mid- to large-cap equities. The S&P 600® Index trades near a 50% discount to the MSCI US Index using normalized price-to-cash earnings multiples. Small caps also trade near a 25% discount using forward P/E ratios whereas historically they traded at a premium.

Key support 2: Small-cap earnings have been weak in recent years, hurting relative performance, but are rebounding off a low base. The S&P 600® Index saw earnings grow 9% in 2025 and forecasted full-year 2026 growth (about 13%) is even higher though trails that for the S&P 500 Index. Tariffs are a headwind for certain small-cap sectors, but Fed easing should be an offset.

Key risks: Small-cap companies have lower margins and higher debt levels than larger-cap stocks, making them more vulnerable to an economic slowdown. Persistent inflationary pressures could slow Fed easing and spur renewed concern about debt affordability. Geopolitical shocks—such as the current Iran War—could both spur inflation (and thus slow Fed easing) as well as boost demand for higher quality large-cap stocks at the expense of small-cap peers, perpetuating the current valuation discount.


5-yr excess return S&P 600® vs MSCI US

December 31, 2003 – March 31, 2026 • Rolling 5-yr relative AACR (%)



Relative normalized P/E ratio: S&P 600® vs MSCI US

December 31, 2003 – March 31, 2026



Sources: FactSet Research Systems, MSCI Inc., Standard & Poor's, and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Notes: Excess return data are daily. The cyclically adjusted price-to-cash earnings (CAPCE) ratio is calculated by dividing the inflation-adjusted index price by trailing ten-year average inflation-adjusted cash earnings. Cash earnings are defined as net income from continuing operations plus depreciation and amortization expense. MSCI does not publish cash earnings for banks and insurance companies and therefore excludes these two industry groups from index-level cash earnings. S&P does not calculate a cash earnings metric; cash flow is used as a proxy.

Overweight Global ex US Equities/Underweight US Equities

Recommended since May 31, 2025

Investment thesis: We expect global ex US equities will continue to outperform US equities amid resumed US dollar weakness. Relative valuations for global ex US equities remain very low, while relative performance and currency strength has more room to run as demonstrated in past episodes of USD weakness. While the recent surge in oil prices resulting from the Iran War has driven outperformance in the US dollar and US equities, we believe this is temporary.


Key support 1: Global ex US equities have historically outperformed US equities in weak dollar cycles as non-US currencies strengthen. We expect the dollar to continue to weaken over our tactical horizon as slowing growth, asset overvaluation, and US policy uncertainty continue to weigh on the demand for US assets and place downward pressure on the dollar.

Key support 2: Valuations for both US equities and the US dollar have run up and remain near all-time highs. In contrast, relative valuations for global ex US equities and currencies remain low, which should help to mitigate some downside risks. While the rally in 2025 left global ex US equities stretched on a momentum basis, the sell-off in March has removed this overbought condition. History suggests non-US outperformance and currency strength have more room to run as demonstrated by past weak dollar cycles, such as between 1971–78, 1985–95, and 2002–11.

Key risks: Surging oil prices have hit non-US currencies harder than the US, given most countries are net oil importers. While this complicates the near-term outlook for non-US equities, we view this as temporary and likely to reverse once oil prices stabilize. Global ex US equities are also underweight the technology sector, which drove recent US equity outperformance. Any rebound in US tech leadership could see non-US equities underperform. Nevertheless, elevated valuations for these sectors imply they are more vulnerable to downside shocks and underperformance versus expectations.


Relative CAPCE: MSCI ACWI ex US/MSCI US

December 31, 1979 – March 31, 2026 • Percentile (%)



Relative 12-month momentum

December 31, 1970 – March 31, 2026 • Percent (%)



Sources: MSCI Inc. and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Note: MSCI ACWI valuations prior to November 30, 1995, and MSCI ACWI performance prior to December 31, 1987, are proxied by the MSCI World ex US Index.

Overweight Latin American Equities/Underweight Emerging Markets Equities


Recommended since June 30, 2025

Investment thesis: We expect Latin American (LatAm) equities to outperform broader emerging markets (EM), driven by attractive valuations, limited direct exposure to US trade policy disruptions, and strong structural tailwinds such as commodity demand and improving policy environments. While political risks in the region persist, the trade benefits from more moderate exposure to recent Middle East developments than other EM regions. Ongoing reforms and significant capital inflows also support a constructive outlook for the region.

Key support 1: LatAm equities trade at a 48% discount to broader EM—one of the most attractive levels on record, partly due to richer valuations in Asia. Historically, such starting points have been associated with strong subsequent performance. Momentum has rebounded sharply from oversold conditions in 2024, and this combination of compelling valuations and renewed momentum supports the outlook for continued outperformance.

Equity and currency valuations of major EM regions

As of March 31, 2026 • Percentile relative to trailing 20-yr history




Key support 2: LatAm should be relatively insulated from geopolitical disruptions (e.g., the Iran War and US trade policy) and is well positioned to benefit from global structural themes. The region's countries tend to be net energy exporters, in contrast to many Asian countries, which are reliant on energy imports. In addition, expectations for central bank policy rate cuts should be supportive, while LatAm's significant commodity wealth—particularly in copper, lithium, and nickel—positions the region to benefit from global trends such as AI and electrification.

Key risks: Political and fiscal risks remain elevated. Upcoming major elections could create market volatility, especially the October Brazilian election given the country's large weight within the LatAm index. Fiscal deficits and elevated rates present additional challenges. Further US tariffs or intervention, as well as the upcoming USMCA review negotiations, could disrupt markets.

12-month relative price momentum: Lat Am vs EM

June 30, 2005 – March 31, 2026 • Percent (%)



Sources: MSCI Inc. and Thomson Reuters Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Notes: Equity valuations are based on the cyclically adjusted price-to-cash earnings ratio (CAPCE) using five-year trailing average real cash EPS. Relative equity valuations for the EM regions are compared to broader EM. Currency valuations are based on equal-weighted baskets of real exchange rates versus the US dollar for 20 of the 24 countries within the MSCI Emerging Markets Index. Greece (which uses the euro), Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates (which employ a fixed rate versus the US dollar) are excluded.

Overweight Global Equity Long/Short Hedge Funds/Underweight 40% Equities/60% Bonds


Recommended since September 30, 2025

Investment thesis: We expect equity long-short (ELS) hedge funds to outperform a 40/60 stock/bond blend, as increased equity dispersion—driven by rapid advances in AI and heightened geopolitical risks stemming from the Iran War. This backdrop creates more opportunities for ELS managers to generate differentiated returns. ELS funds also benefit from a positive short rebate, which should remain supportive and above previous low-rate levels.

Key support 1: Elevated dispersion in stock returns creates a more favorable environment for stock-pickers like ELS hedge funds, offering greater potential for differentiated performance on both long and short trades. While periods of higher dispersion and lower correlations have historically coincided with stronger ELS results—such as 1.3% average monthly returns versus 0.4% for a 40/60 stock/bond blend—research shows that dispersion, rather than correlation, is the meaningful driver of active manager opportunity.

Rolling 12-month S&P 500 correlation & dispersion

December 31, 1991 – March 31, 2026



Sources: CBOT, Federal Reserve, Standard and Poor's, and Thomson Reuters Datastream.


Notes: Correlation is calculated as the weighted average pairwise correlation across the stocks in the index over one month. Dispersion is calculated as the weighted cross-sectional standard deviation of the performance of stocks within the index for one month. Board opportunity reflects periods of above average dispersion and below average correlation. The short rebate is represented by the effective federal funds rate minus a 50-bp spread. Dashed line reflects short rebate expectations based on fed funds futures.

Key support 2: ELS hedge funds earn a short rebate—the interest on cash collateral from short sales, net of broker fees. From 2010–21, this rebate was minimal or even negative due to low rates. Today, it is much higher, boosting ELS baseline returns and supporting outperformance versus a 40/60 stock/bond blend. Moreover, the recent surge in commodity prices stemming from the Iran War supports rates and thus the short rebate.

Key risks: ELS hedge funds may underperform if equity markets transition to an environment characterized by lower dispersion and higher correlations, as this limits alpha opportunities and causes beta to dominate returns, while short positions become a broader drag on performance. Additionally, if the Iran war weakens economic growth and prompts central banks to cut rates more aggressively than expected, ELS hedge funds could underperform both equity and bond markets during a policy-driven rebound, as their short exposures may constrain upside participation.

Short rebate versus dividend yield

January 31, 1991 – March 31, 2029 • Percent (%)



Overweight Unhedged World ex US Treasuries/Underweight US Treasuries


Recommended since May 31, 2025

Investment thesis: Unhedged global ex US Treasuries tend to outperform US Treasuries when the dollar declines. We view the USD as expensive and expect it to weaken as the US growth and interest rate advantage fades. Foreign demand for US assets may also diminish amid elevated US exposures, rich equity valuations, and recent policy shifts. This trade is intended for US-based investors and reflects our negative outlook on the dollar, not on the underlying bonds.

Key support 1: The USD's trade-weighted real effective exchange rate (REER) recently reached its fourth highest level since 1971. Historically, such elevated starting valuations have preceded multi-year dollar declines, favoring unhedged global ex US Treasuries over US Treasuries. When the USD's REER is above the 90th percentile, subsequent rolling three-year annualized returns have ranged from -2% to 19%, averaging 6.8%.

USD basket trade-weighted real effective exchange rate

June 30, 1971 – March 31, 2026 • Percentile (%)




Key support 2: We expect USD weakness as secular US advantages diminish. The dollar's recent strength was driven by robust US growth and higher rates, but these tailwinds are set to fade. A more balanced US economy and increased fiscal support in Europe and Japan should narrow growth and rate differentials. Additionally, foreign investors have started to hedge more of their dollar exposure and may eventually slow US asset purchases, which are at record levels, amid elevated valuations and rising US policy uncertainty, putting additional pressure on the dollar.

Key risks: Advances in AI and productivity could sustain the US growth advantage and continue to attract capital, while a deeper global slowdown could trigger risk aversion and a flight to safety. The Iran War illustrates a unique example of this risk. However, while the US dollar typically appreciates in geopolitical conflicts, we anticipate its recent appreciation will reverse once the war is resolved. Lastly, implementation comes at a cost, as US Treasuries currently yield 107 bps more than global ex US Treasuries.

Relative unhedged world ex US vs US treasury bond returns

January 31, 1985 – March 31, 2026 • 3-yr annualized return (%)



Sources: Bloomberg Index Services Limited, FTSE Russell, MSCI Inc., National Sources, OECD, Refinitiv, Thomson Reuters Datastream, and US Federal Reserve. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Note: Inflation data are as of December 31, 2025.

Overweight UK Gilts/Underweight World Government Bonds


Recommended since February 28, 2026

Investment thesis: We expect UK gilts to outperform world government bonds (GBP hedged), driven by attractive valuations, a domestic growth outlook that points to a relative fall in gilt yields, and positive yield carry. While fiscal risks remain, we believe they are captured in gilts to a greater extent than other government bonds and self-imposed fiscal rules should limit further repricing. The UK, though, is particularly exposed to external supply shocks, such as recent developments in Iran.

Key support 1: Gilts yields are trading more than 1 standard deviation above their model implied fair value level (model based on trailing growth and inflation data). Gilts have outperformed in previous episodes when they exhibit this level of 'cheapness' and move lower toward the fair value range. Gilts yields are also trading high relative to other developed markets (DM) fixed income. Even without a change in yields, gilts should outperform based on positive carry—the yield on gilts is 1.39 percentage points higher than the world government bond underweight.

Valuations: 10-year gilts

December 31, 1990 – March 31, 2026 • Percent (%)



Sources: National Sources and Thomson Reuters Datastream.


Notes: For LHS, the model fair value is the predicted range of ten-year yields based on a multiple linear regression model that includes trailing ten-year real GDP and RPI/CPI change. CPI data are as of January 2026. For RHS, DM peers include the 11 G10 countries.

Key support 2: The balance of risks points to lower relative gilt yields. UK growth is set to remain weak and below that of DM peers, with no clear catalyst for renewed momentum and signs of increased economic slack emerging. In our view, the supply-side shock from the Iran War is more likely to further dampen demand than to lead to a protracted, broad inflation spiral from here, which should ensure the BOE tightens monetary policy by less than markets currently expect. Fiscal concerns are priced to a greater extent than other DMs due to self-imposed fiscal rules and market-imposed discipline.

Key risks: The Iran War is a scenario in which gilts could underperform, especially if the war leads to a protracted inflationary impact, although domestic growth and inflation momentum had been waning prior to the war. A change in UK political leadership could increase focus on government borrowing, but the impact should be limited unless the new leader explicitly scraps the fiscal rules, which is seen as a tail risk.

10-year UK gilt yield compared to the range of DM peers

January 31, 1979 – March 31, 2026 • Percent (%)



Overweight Current Coupon Agency MBS/Underweight Investment-Grade Corporates


Recommended since October 31, 2025

Investment thesis: US current coupon agency MBS—recently issued, higher-yielding securities—represent a high-quality, attractively valued segment of the investment-grade bond universe. With yields now competitive with US corporates, they offer compelling relative value and are well positioned to outperform, providing defensive characteristics without sacrificing yield if credit spreads widen from historically tight levels.

Key support 1: For the first time since 2007–08, current coupon yields have matched or exceeded those of corporates. Historically, from these levels, current coupons have outperformed corporates 61% of the time over the next two years, with excess returns ranging from -3% to 11%. As a AAA-rated sector, agency MBS provide better protection against risk asset sell-offs that pressure credit spreads. Corporate spreads remain unusually tight and appear vulnerable to widening amid increased issuance and elevated market volatility.

US bond yields

January 31, 2005 – March 31, 2026 • Percent (%)




Key support 2: While corporate spreads are near historical tight, current coupon MBS spreads to Treasuries are less extreme—currently in the 48th percentile over the past 20 years. Spreads have tightened over the last year driven by Fed easing, lower rate volatility, and the Trump Administration’s directive for Government-Sponsored Enterprises (GSEs) to purchase \$200B in MBS. This reversed some in March following the start of the Iran War, although ongoing GSE purchases may provide a backstop, supporting a more favorable risk/reward profile relative to corporates.

Key risks: While the Fed’s ongoing MBS portfolio reduction has been a headwind, it is likely priced in. However, higher rate volatility remains a risk given uncertainty around inflation and Fed policy with the Iran War. Policy changes also introduce uncertainty, but measures to improve housing affordability have so far been net positive for agency MBS. Prepayment risk could rise if affordability boosts housing activity, though the overall impact should remain limited due to structural hurdles and elevated mortgage rates.

Rate volatility and CC Agency MBS vs Treasury nominal yield spread

January 31, 2005 – March 31, 2026



Sources: Bloomberg Index Services Limited, Intercontinental Exchange Inc., and Thomson Reuters Datastream.

Notes: The MOVE Index is a key indicator of expected volatility in the US Treasury market. Yield spread is calculated versus the Bloomberg US Treasury Index.

Copyright © 2026 Cambridge Associates. All rights reserved.

Cambridge Associates is a global group of companies that provide investment management, investment advisory, research and performance reporting services. For the purposes of this document 'us', 'the Firm', 'our', 'we', 'CA', 'Cambridge Associates', and similar terms refer collectively to the list of companies below. Similarly, unless otherwise stated the figures provided are the combined total for the list of companies below:

— **Cambridge Associates LLC**

Massachusetts Limited Liability Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Registered and regulated by the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission, and the National Futures Association. US Securities and Exchange Commission file number 801-14255.

— **Cambridge Associates Limited**

Limited Company in England and Wales, company number: No. 06135829. **Regulatory Authority:** Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). FCA Number 474331.

— **Cambridge Associates GmbH**

German Limited Liability Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Registered and regulated by Bundesanstalt Für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (Bafin). Bafin Identification Number 10155510.

— **Cambridge Associates Investment Consultancy (Beijing) Ltd**

People's Republic of China Limited Liability Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Beijing Administration for Industry and Commerce. Registration No. 110000450174972.

— **Cambridge Associates Hong Kong Private Limited**

Hong Kong Private Limited Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Licensed with the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong. CE Reference BRV471.

— **Cambridge Associates Asia Pte Ltd**

Singapore Corporation. **Regulatory Authority:** Licensed and regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Registration No. 200101063G.

— **Cambridge Associates Limited, LLC**

Massachusetts Limited Liability Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission, subject to oversight by the Australian Securities and Investment Commission, and registered in several Canadian provinces. ARBN (Australian Registered Body Number) 109 366 654. US Securities and Exchange Commission file number 801-45277.

— **Cambridge Associates AG**

Swiss Limited Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Authorized and supervised by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). Registration Number: CHE-115.905.353.

— **Cambridge Associates (DIFC) Limited**

Incorporated as a Private Company. **Regulatory Authority:** Regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. DFSA License Number: FO11237.

This document, including but not limited to text, graphics, images, and logos, is the property of Cambridge Associates and is protected under applicable copyright, trademark, and intellectual property laws. You may not copy, modify, or further distribute copies of this document without written permission from Cambridge Associates ("CA"). You may not remove, alter, or obscure any copyright, trademark, or other proprietary notices contained within this document. This document is confidential and not for further distribution, unless and except to the extent such use or distribution is in accordance with an agreement with CA or otherwise authorized in writing by CA.

This report is provided for informational purposes only. The information does not represent investment advice or recommendations, nor does it constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Any references to specific investments are for illustrative purposes only. The information herein does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Information in this report or on which the information is based may be based on publicly available data. CA considers such data reliable but does not represent it as accurate, complete, or independently verified, and it should not be relied on as such. Nothing contained in this report should be construed as the provision of tax, accounting, or legal advice.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. All financial investments involve risk. Depending on the type of investment, losses can be unlimited.

Any information or opinions provided in this report are as of the date of the report, and CA is under no obligation to update the information or communicate that any updates have been made. Information contained herein may have been provided by third parties, including investment firms providing information on returns and assets under management, and may not have been independently verified.