


# MULTIEMPLOYER PLANS RECEIVING SFA PROGRAM ASSETS FACE CRITICAL ALLOCATION CHOICES



Serge Agres  
Managing Director  
Pension Practice


Regulations are often controversial, but few in recent history have had so many different interpretations and large revisions as the Special Financial Assistance (SFA) program for underfunded multiemployer pension plans (MEPP). Now that the dust has settled, one of the biggest risks for plan sponsors is not taking full advantage of the opportunities that are available. Being conservative is an understandable instinct, given current market uncertainty, but this may be detrimental to long-term solvency of the plan—the very thing that the SFA program was designed to protect.

As an investment manager, Cambridge Associates has the privilege of regularly discussing asset allocation strategies with plan sponsors and fixed income managers in the multiemployer space. These interactions have illuminated the need for a wide dispersion of approaches to allocating the SFA program assets. While many options exist, a plan-specific, holistic approach that combines multiple investment strategies is most likely to generate optimal outcomes. This paper provides a guide to plan sponsors as they seek to make the most effective use of their SFA program capital.

## FUNDING ALLOCATION OPTIONS

SFA regulations specify which asset classes can be used by program funds, stipulating a 67% allocation to investment-grade bonds with the remainder eligible for allocation to equities and other return-seeking assets. The various allocation options available to plan sponsors are captured below. Approaches 1 through 4 in Figure 1 represent available investment-grade allocations, while equities represent the return-seeking portion.

FIGURE 1 SFA PROGRAM ASSETS



## ABOUT THE SFA PROGRAM

The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 included substantial relief funds for the most troubled US multiemployer pension plans through its SFA program. The SFA program was created to help seriously underfunded multiemployer pension plans maintain solvency through 2051.

For more information on this program, and its implications for all MEPP assets, see our companion paper, [Solvency Beyond Relief: Unlocking the Full Potential of SFA Program Assets](#).

MEPP sponsors should ensure that the potential benefits and risks of each of these approaches are carefully considered to most effectively allocate their SFA program funds. Some observations on each are provided here:

- 1. CASH / SHORT-TERM TREASURY:** This is the easiest approach and, based on the current yield curve, provides a decent yield. These assets are also the easiest to use to meet benefit payment requirements. It is the safest option, but also the most likely to underperform other strategy options over the long term.
- 2. CASH FLOW DRIVEN INVESTING:** Under the CDI approach, high-quality bonds are purchased in a manner so that the coupon and principal proceeds match the expected benefit payments from the plan. As credit bonds have a higher yield than Treasuries, the portfolio can achieve a higher yield at the expense of the very minor risk of some bonds defaulting.
- 3. INVESTMENT-GRADE TOTAL RETURN:** This is an unrestricted approach that uses the entire universe of investment-grade bonds and does not rely on the buy-and-hold strategy that CDI employs. The larger opportunity set can, in theory, enable higher return generation. However, this carries additional risk—not only from non-performing bonds but also from a potential duration mismatch to near-term benefit payments. The value of the portfolio will change as interest rates change, causing performance impact, as well as the risk of having to sell assets at a loss to pay for benefits.
- 4. PREDOMINANTLY INVESTMENT-GRADE COMMINGLED FUNDS:** This is one of the more interesting approaches, which relies on an interpretation of the ARPA legislation that allows for the use of commingled funds that are predominantly investment grade. The key term here is “predominantly,” which means that the fund may hold higher-yielding fixed income that may not be investment grade, as long as these investments do not represent the majority of assets in the fund. This approach is expected to maximize the return generation for the 67% of SFA assets denoted as “investment grade,” but may also hold the most risk out of all the previous options.
- 5. EQUITIES:** This is the allocation of choice for the return-seeking portion of the SFA assets. While the limit is an allocation of 33% of total SFA assets, some plan sponsors are pursuing a slightly lower allocation (25% to 30% is common), while others are foregoing this allocation entirely.

While no single allocation is right for all plan sponsors, we believe the following considerations will prove useful as MEPPs contemplate how to invest their SFA program assets.

- Based on current yield levels, setting up a CDI approach makes sense for a few years, but loses appeal if done excessively.
- Using a total return approach, while staying within the bounds of the legislation, should enable plan sponsors to maximize the return generation of the investment-grade portfolio.
- Don't shy away from equities. There is little risk of breaching the 33% allocation limit and this asset class is expected to outperform fixed income over time. Allocating to equities should maximize the return generation for the entire SFA asset pool.

### **CHOOSE WISELY**

Plan sponsors receiving SFA assets certainly have a wide range of available options at their disposal. The appropriate allocation, however, can best be arrived at through a holistic assessment that considers multiple factors. These include the amount of SFA assets received, the Trustee's risk tolerance, the current composition of the legacy (non-SFA assets) portfolio, as well as the ability to allocate to new and alternative asset classes within that legacy portfolio, among others. While the options are wide and the best path forward will be unique to each situation, plan sponsors should keep in mind that their biggest risk in the long run is to be overly conservative. Avoiding this through careful evaluation and action now will be many MEPPs' key to securing long-term plan solvency. ■

---

Copyright © 2023 by Cambridge Associates LLC. All rights reserved.

This report may not be displayed, reproduced, distributed, transmitted, or used to create derivative works in any form, in whole or in portion, by any means, without written permission from Cambridge Associates LLC ("CA"). Copying of this publication is a violation of US and global copyright laws (e.g., 17 U.S.C. 101 et seq.). Violators of this copyright may be subject to liability for substantial monetary damages.

This report is provided for informational purposes only. The information does not represent investment advice or recommendations, nor does it constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. Any references to specific investments are for illustrative purposes only. The information herein does not constitute a personal recommendation or take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situations, or needs of individual clients. Information in this report or on which the information is based may be based on publicly available data. CA considers such data reliable but does not represent it as accurate, complete, or independently verified, and it should not be relied on as such. Nothing contained in this report should be construed as the provision of tax, accounting, or legal advice. PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE. Broad-based securities indexes are unmanaged and are not subject to fees and expenses typically associated with managed accounts or investment funds. Investments cannot be made directly in an index. Any information or opinions provided in this report are as of the date of the report, and CA is under no obligation to update the information or communicate that any updates have been made. Information contained herein may have been provided by third parties, including investment firms providing information on returns and assets under management, and may not have been independently verified.

The terms "CA" or "Cambridge Associates" may refer to any one or more CA entity including: Cambridge Associates, LLC (a registered investment adviser with the US Securities and Exchange Commission, a Commodity Trading Adviser registered with the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission and National Futures Association, and a Massachusetts limited liability company with offices in Arlington, VA; Boston, MA; Dallas, TX; Menlo Park, CA, New York, NY; and San Francisco, CA), Cambridge Associates Limited (a registered limited company in England and Wales, No. 06135829, that is authorized and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority in the conduct of Investment Business, reference number: 474331); Cambridge Associates GmbH (authorized and regulated by the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht ("BaFin"), Identification Number: 155510), Cambridge Associates Asia Pte Ltd (a Singapore corporation, registration No. 200101063G, which holds a Capital Market Services License to conduct Fund Management for Accredited and/or Institutional Investors only by the Monetary Authority of Singapore), Cambridge Associates Limited, LLC (a registered investment adviser with the US Securities and Exchange Commission, an Exempt Market Dealer and Portfolio Manager in the Canadian provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Québec, and Saskatchewan, and a Massachusetts limited liability company with a branch office in Sydney, Australia, ARBN 109 366 654), Cambridge Associates Investment Consultancy (Beijing) Ltd (a wholly owned subsidiary of Cambridge Associates, LLC which is registered with the Beijing Administration for Industry and Commerce, registration No. 110000450174972), and Cambridge Associates (Hong Kong) Private Limited (a Hong Kong Private Limited Company licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong to conduct the regulated activity of advising on securities to professional investors).