# CA

### CAMBRIDGE ASSOCIATES LLC

## EUROPEAN MARKET COMMENTARY EUROPEAN EQUITIES GETTING PRICEY March 2006

Celia Dallas Taekyung Han

Copyright © 2006 by Cambridge Associates LLC. All rights reserved.

This report may not be displayed, reproduced, distributed, transmitted, or used to create derivative works in any form, in whole or in portion, by any means, without written permission from Cambridge Associates LLC ("CA"). Copying of this publication is a violation of federal copyright laws (17 U.S.C. 101 et seq.). Violators of this copyright may be subject to liability for substantial monetary damages. The information and material published in this report are confidential and non-transferable. This means that authorized members may not disclose any information or material derived from this report to third parties, or use information or material from this report, without prior written authorization. An authorized member may disclose information or material from this report to its staff, trustees, or Investment Committee with the understanding that these individuals will treat it confidentially. Additionally, information from this report may be disclosed if disclosure is required by law or court order, but members are required to provide notice to CA reasonably in advance of such disclosure. This report is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to constitute an offer of securities of any of the issuers that are described in the report. This report is provided only to persons that CA believes to be "Accredited Investors" as that term is defined in Regulation D under the Securities Act of 1933. When applicable, investors should completely review all Fund offering materials before considering an investment. No part of this report is intended as a recommendation of any firm or any security. Factual information contained herein about investment firms and their returns which has not been independently verified has generally been collected from the firms themselves through the mail. CA can neither assure nor accept responsibility for accuracy, but substantial legal liability may apply to misrepresentations of results delivered through the mail. The CA manager universe statistics, including medians, are derived from CA's proprietary database covering investment managers. These universe statistics and rankings exclude managers that exclude cash from their reported total returns, and for calculations including any years from 1998 to the present, those managers with less than \$50 million in product assets. Returns for inactive (discontinued) managers are included if performance is available for the entire period measured. Performance results are generally gross of investment management fees. CA does not necessarily endorse or recommend the managers in this universe.

Cambridge Associates LLC is a Massachusetts limited liability company headquartered in Boston, MA with branch offices in Washington, DC and Menlo Park, CA. Cambridge Associates Limited is a Massachusetts limited liability company headquartered in Boston, MA and registered in England and Wales (No. FC022523, Branch No. BR005540). Cambridge Associates Limited also is registered to conduct business in Sydney, Australia (ARBD 109 366 654). Cambridge Associates Asia Pte Ltd is a Singapore corporation (Registration No. 200101063G).

#### **European Equities Getting Pricey**

While standard valuation metrics other than price-earnings ratios (P/Es) suggest European equities are somewhat expensive, P/E ratios based on 12-month trailing earnings have remained reasonable, as earnings growth has kept up with European equity's break-neck pace since these markets began accelerating from their most recent lows in March 2003. The MSCI U.K. Index P/E ratio of 14.3 is slightly above its long-term mean of 13.5 and its price-to-cash earnings ratio (P/CE) of 10.0 is modestly above its mean of 8.3. However, the index's price-book ratio (P/B) and dividend yield (DY) are now nearly 1 standard deviation more expensive than they have been on average since 1974. Similarly, Europe ex U.K. equities appear fairly valued on the basis of P/E ratios, as the P/E of 17.2 is only slightly ahead of its post-1974 average of 16.5, though the average itself is high, reflective the generally lofty valuations experienced since the mid-1990s. However, P/CE, DYs, and P/B value are all roughly 1 standard deviation more expensive than their post-1974 averages (Tables A and B).

Why are P/E ratios indicating fair value, when other metrics suggest these markets are rich? Given that earnings in both the United Kingdom and continental Europe have been growing at a rapid pace over the last several years, and that the return on equity (ROE) in these markets is quite high, traditional P/E metrics implicitly assume that this high level of earnings will continue into the future. Normalized P/E ratios that adjust for earnings cyclicality provide a more meaningful measure, and today suggest that these markets are quite a bit more expensive than do standard P/E metrics.

#### **Earnings Strength**

U.K. earnings have been on a tear since bottoming at the end of May 2003, with MSCI U.K. Index earnings growing a cumulative 59.9%, or 18.6% on an average annual compound basis, compared to an 8.6% annual rate since our data begin in 1970. At the same time, the ROE for U.K. equities has increased from a cyclical low of 10.9 on September 30, 2001 to 18.5% at the end of February 2006. While the current ROE is not necessarily at its peak, history suggests that it does not have much room to run. Since 1974, the ROE on U.K. equities has averaged 14.0%, which is high by historical standards,<sup>1</sup> and has peaked three times: at 18.0% on March 31, 1980, 16.8% on March 31, 1989, and 20.6% on November 30, 1997.

Earnings growth on the Continent has been even more spectacular. Earnings have grown a cumulative 150.4% since their recent low at the end of April 2003, or an average annual compound rate of 38.3%; well over the 6.4% average rate since 1970. Similarly, the MSCI Europe ex U.K. Index ROE was 15.0% at the end of February 2006, well above its 10.6% post-1974 average, and comparable to previous peaks, exceeded only by its most recent peak of 16.6 experienced in early 2001. Given the high level of earnings growth and ROE, evaluating P/E ratios on a normalized basis, accounting for this cyclicality, is particularly important today.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The long-term average ROE is generally assumed to be about 12%, which is in line with the long-term average for the U.S. equity market (S&P 500 from 1963, when our data begin, through present), and according to Warren Buffet in his famous 1977 *Fortune* article, is the average ROE for U.S. equities for every decade this century through 1975.

#### Normalized P/Es Suggest Equities are Slightly Expensive

We normalized earnings in two ways in order to adjust for cyclicality. First, we looked at the normalized real P/E ratio, more commonly known as the Shiller P/E,<sup>2</sup> in which earnings and market prices are adjusted for inflation to reflect today's values and earnings reflect their trailing ten-year average. Second, we looked at an ROE-adjusted P/E ratio, following a methodology used by Morgan Stanley, in which the current P/E based on trailing 12-month earnings is multiplied by the ratio of the current ROE to its post-1974 average.

While the U.K. market P/E based on trailing 12-month earnings was only 14.3 as of the end of February 2006, the normalized real P/E was 18.2 and the ROE-adjusted P/E, 18.9. Similarly, the P/E for Europe ex U.K. equities increased from 17.2 based on trailing 12-month earnings to 25.6 based on normalized real earnings and 24.3 based on ROE-adjusted earnings. In both markets, the two normalized P/Es have been highly correlated over time, periodically diverging from the unadjusted P/E (Tables C and D).

In order to determine whether P/E ratios based on normalized earnings are more predictive of subsequent returns than are P/Es based on trailing 12-month earnings, we evaluated the relationship between beginning period P/E ratios by these various measures and subsequent five-year returns. While we recognize the data are limited, since MSCI earnings data begin in 1970, and the real normalized P/E metric requires ten years of trailing data, leaving only five discrete five-year periods to analyze, normalized measures produced meaningfully superior results than did the traditional P/E measures. Of course, there were still periods in which high P/Es were followed by high returns, and vice versa, but this occurred less frequently when normalized P/Es were utilized (Tables E and F). We repeated this analysis using the FTSE All-Share for which we have earnings data dating back to 1963 and found similar results (Tables G and H).

#### Conclusion

Evaluation of P/Es on a normalized basis provides unambiguous evidence that European equities are overvalued. While U.K. equities are slightly expensive on the whole, the high DY and generally favorable valuations relative to those of U.S. and continental European equities should provide some cushion against a potential downturn in global markets, as well as the potential to outperform if conditions remain accommodative. U.K. equities offer a relatively attractive DY of 3.2% (closer to 4% including buybacks), which may be low relative to yields paid out prior to the mid-1990s, but is well above those of other major developed markets regions, as demonstrated by the 2.0% DY of the MSCI World Index. Europe ex U.K. equities are priced comparably to U.S. equities, with both markets more expensive than the other major developed markets regions. From a long-term perspective, we remain enthusiastic about continental Europe, as we continue to believe the market is pricing in low expectations for improvements in labor flexibility, restructuring, and deepening and broadening capital markets, even as the largest countries (Germany, France, and Italy) have made notable improvements. However, for the moment, market prices have gotten ahead of fundamentals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This measure is named after its developer, Yale professor Robert Shiller.

#### Table A

#### **GLOBAL EQUITY MARKET VALUATIONS**

#### **MSCI United Kingdom**



December 31, 1974 - February 28, 2006

Sources: Morgan Stanley Capital International and Thomson Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Notes: Return on equity is calculated by dividing the earnings per share by the book value per share. Book value per share is calculated by dividing the index price by its price/book ratio. Earnings per share is calculated by dividing the price index by its price/earnings ratio.

#### Table B

#### **GLOBAL EQUITY MARKET VALUATIONS**

#### **MSCI Europe ex U.K. Index**





Sources: Morgan Stanley Capital International and Thomson Datastream. MSCI data provided "as is" without any express or implied warranties.

Notes: Return on equity is calculated by dividing the earnings per share by the book value per share. Book value per share is calculated by dividing the index price by its price/book ratio. Earnings per share is calculated by dividing the price index by its price/earnings ratio.











#### Table H

#### **RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PRICE/EARNINGS RATIOS AND SUBSEQUENT RETURNS**

#### **FTSE** Composite Index





Sources: FTSE International Limited and Thomson Datastream.

Notes: FTSE Composite valuations are derived from data provided by FT Actuaries Library (1963-64) and based on the FTSE Total Non-Financial Index (1964-92) and FTSE All-Share Index (1993-2005). Normalized real price/earnings ratios for the FTSE Composite Index are calculated by dividing the current index value by the annualized average real earnings for the trailing ten years.