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## A Short, Sharp Earnings Recovery? <br> Possible, but Improbable

Despite the persistent fall in equity prices, analyst expectations of S\&P 500 earnings remain quite optimistic. As near-term prospects for earnings growth have been diminishing, analysts have been ratcheting down earnings expectations for the first half of 2002, pushing their optimism forward to the second half of the year. The net result for 2002 is that earnings growth expectations have remained quite steady, decreasing slightly from 17.8\% when they were first forecast in April 2001 to $16.7 \%$ at present. (See Table A.)

Expectations for the first quarter of 2002 have been slashed by nearly 30 percentage points, from $20.6 \%$ to $-8.6 \%$, and for the second quarter by 20 percentage points, from $28.7 \%$ to $8.2 \%$. With the first and second quarters now expected to be weaker than initially anticipated, analysts forecast operating earnings to rebound sharply in the third and fourth quarters, $29.9 \%$ and $39.9 \%$, respectively. The most dramatic revisions have taken place in the information technology sector, where first quarter expectations have plunged nearly 70 percentage points, from $51.8 \%$ to $-29.1 \%$, and for the second quarter 90 percentage points, from $135.8 \%$ to $46.3 \%$. Expectations for information technology in the third and fourth quarters are quite aggressive, $163.1 \%$ and $80.3 \%$.

## Is the Expected Magnitude of Recovery Realistic?

How realistic is it to expect operating earnings of the S\&P 500 to rise nearly $17 \%$ in 2002? Based on historical earnings growth, it seems very optimistic. Annual earnings growth has averaged $6.0 \%$ since 1927 and $6.5 \%$ since 1960 , and has exceeded $16.7 \%$ in only 19 of the last 75 years, or $25 \%$ of the time. While above average earnings growth has been more common following periods of steep declines, the recent drop in operating earnings has not been extraordinary to date. In fact, the decline in operating earnings from its December 2000 peak to the current trough is only $20 \%$-close to the post-1926 average peak-to-trough cumulative decline in earnings of $18.4 \%$ and the average real decline of $20.1 \% .^{1}$ (See Tables B and C.)

Similarly, the likelihood that S\&P 500 operating earnings will rise $40 \%$ in the fourth quarter is also slim. In the 296 quarters from 1927, both nominal and real earnings growth has exceeded $30 \%$ only about $11 \%$ of the time. (See Tables D and E.) Precedent therefore suggests that $30 \%+$ earnings growth is possible, but rare.
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## Is the Expected Duration of Recovery Realistic?

Over the long term (defined as three to five years by $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{S}$ ), analysts expect operating profits to grow $14.4 \%$ annually. This would result in operating earnings recovering to December 2000 peaks by third quarter 2003, or almost six quarters after the expected earnings trough at the end of the first quarter 2002.

Based on the 25 peak-to-trough earnings declines since 1926 and subsequent recoveries, a sixquarter recovery period seems reasonable. The average duration of prior declines was ten quarters and the average duration of prior recoveries was 7.8 quarters. There was a very strong relationship between the severity of the decline and the length of time needed to recover. In the five periods since 1926 when earnings experienced relatively comparable declines ( $10 \%$ to $30 \%$ ) to the current $20 \%$ operating earnings decline, the average time to recovery was about a year and a half, ranging from one quarter to 5.4 years. While this is a fairly wide range, it is clear that the six-quarter earnings recovery expected by analysts is faster than average, but would not be unprecedented. Adjusting for inflation, the average duration of recovery from earnings declines since 1926 was comparable, at 8.1 quarters. Similarly, an evaluation of comparable real declines-the eight periods with declines ranging from $13.2 \%$ to $27.6 \%$-reveals that the average recovery period was two years, ranging from one quarter to 5.75 years.

## Conclusion

Historical precedent suggests that earnings could well rebound within the time horizon envisaged by analysts, but are unlikely to prove as robust as they expect. In general, analysts' projections are overoptimistic, exceeding actual earnings $80 \%$ in the past 15 years. As in years past, they will probably continue to reduce their estimates as the year progresses, and have already modified last year's predictions of a V-shaped, then U-shaped recovery, to the more modest expectation of a W-shaped rebound. The out-of-consensus bet is for low or negative earnings growth for a third consecutive year, which would exact a significant toll on equity prices, given current valuations.
Table A

Sources: Morgan Stanley Research and Thomson Financial First Call.
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Table B
DURATION OF S\&P 500 NOMINAL EARNINGS DECLINES AND RECOVERIES
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## Table C

## DURATION OF S\&P 500 REAL EARNINGS DECLINES AND RECOVERIES



Sources: Standard \& Poor's and Standard \& Poor's Compustat.
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Table D
DISTRIBUTION OF S\&P 500 NOMINAL QUARTERLY EARNINGS GROWTH


Sources: Standard \& Poor's and Standard \& Poor's Compustat.
Note: Growth represents year-over-year change in trailing earnings.
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Table E
DISTRIBUTION OF S\&P 500 REAL QUARTERLY EARNINGS GROWTH

| March 31, 1927 - December 31, 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sep-28 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jun-29 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Sep-29 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dec-29 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dec-34 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jun-37 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Dec-38 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Jun-41 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Jun-28 | Jun-43 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-28 | Mar-30 | Sep-43 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-33 | Dec-33 | Sep-49 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-40 | Mar-34 | Sep-50 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-41 | Jun-34 | Dec-50 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-43 | Sep-34 | Mar-51 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-44 | Mar-41 | Dec-54 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-46 | Dec-42 | Mar-55 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-50 | Mar-43 | Jun-56 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-51 | Mar-45 | Jun-59 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-52 | Jun-45 | Sep-59 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-52 | Sep-45 | Dec-59 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-56 | Dec-45 | Jun-62 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-56 | Dec-46 | Sep-62 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-57 | Dec-49 | Dec-62 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jun-57 | Jun-50 | Jun-63 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-57 | Jun-51 | Sep-63 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-57 | Mar-53 | Mar-64 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-58 | Jun-53 | Jun-64 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-59 | Sep-53 | Sep-64 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jun-60 | Dec-53 | Dec-64 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-60 | Mar-54 | Mar-65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-60 | Jun-54 | Jun-65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Mar-61 | Sep-54 | Sep-65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jun-61 | Mar-60 | Dec-65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-61 | Mar-62 | Mar-66 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Dec-61 | Mar-63 | Jun-66 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Jun-67 | Dec-63 | Dec-71 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Sep-67 | Sep-66 | Mar-72 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Jun-30 | Dec-67 | Dec-66 | Jun-72 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Jun-33 | Mar-68 | Mar-67 | Sep-72 | Dec-28 |  |  |
|  |  | Mar-42 | Dec-69 | Jun-68 | Dec-72 | Mar-29 |  |  |
|  |  | Mar-44 | Mar-70 | Sep-68 | Mar-73 | Mar-36 |  |  |
|  |  | Jun-44 | Jun-70 | Dec-68 | Jun-73 | Dec-36 |  |  |
|  |  | Sep-44 | Sep-70 | Mar-69 | Mar-74 | Sep-37 |  |  |
|  |  | Jun-46 | Mar-71 | Jun-69 | Jun-74 | Sep-40 |  |  |
|  |  | Sep-46 | Jun-71 | Sep-69 | Sep-74 | Sep-41 |  |  |
|  | Sep-30 | Dec-51 | Mar-75 | Sep-71 | Jun-76 | Jun-48 |  |  |
|  | Dec-30 | Mar-52 | Sep-80 | Dec-74 | Mar-77 | Jun-49 |  |  |
|  | Mar-31 | Jun-52 | Dec-80 | Mar-76 | Jun-77 | Jun-55 |  |  |
|  | Jun-31 | Jun-58 | Mar-81 | Dec-77 | Sep-77 | Sep-55 |  |  |
|  | Sep-31 | Sep-58 | Jun-81 | Mar-78 | Dec-78 | Mar-56 |  |  |
|  | Dec-31 | Dec-58 | Jun-82 | Jun-78 | Mar-79 | Sep-73 |  |  |
|  | Mar-32 | Dec-70 | Sep-83 | Sep-78 | Dec-79 | Dec-73 |  | Mar-35 |
|  | Jun-32 | Jun-75 | Jun-85 | Jun-80 | Mar-80 | Sep-76 | Sep-36 | Jun-35 |
|  | Sep-32 | Sep-75 | Sep-85 | Sep-81 | Dec-83 | Dec-76 | Jun-39 | Sep-35 |
|  | Dec-32 | Dec-75 | Jun-86 | Dec-81 | Dec-84 | Jun-79 | Sep-39 | Dec-35 |
|  | Mar-33 | Sep-82 | Sep-86 | Mar-82 | Jun-89 | Sep-79 | Dec-39 | Jun-36 |
|  | Dec-37 | Dec-82 | Dec-86 | Mar-85 | Dec-92 | Mar-84 | Jun-40 | Mar-37 |
|  | Mar-38 | Mar-83 | Jun-87 | Mar-87 | Jun-93 | Jun-84 | Mar-47 | Mar-39 |
|  | Jun-38 | Jun-83 | Dec-89 | Sep-87 | Sep-93 | Sep-84 | Mar-48 | Mar-40 |
|  | Sep-38 | Dec-85 | Dec-90 | Sep-89 | Dec-93 | Dec-87 | Sep-48 | Jun-47 |
|  | Jun-42 | Mar-86 | Mar-91 | Sep-92 | Mar-94 | Mar-88 | Dec-55 | Sep-47 |
|  | Sep-42 | Mar-90 | Jun-91 | Mar-96 | Dec-95 | Mar-93 | Dec-88 | Dec-47 |
|  | Dec-91 | Jun-90 | Mar-98 | Jun-96 | Dec-96 | Jun-94 | Mar-89 | Dec-48 |
|  | Mar-92 | Sep-90 | Jun-98 | Sep-96 | Mar-97 | Sep-95 | Sep-94 | Mar-49 |
|  | Jun-01 | Sep-91 | Sep-98 | Dec-97 | Jun-97 | Dec-99 | Dec-94 | Jun-88 |
|  | Sep-01 | Jun-92 | Dec-98 | Jun-99 | Sep-97 | Jun-00 | Jun-95 | Sep-88 |
|  | Dec-01 | Mar-01 | Mar-99 | Dec-00 | Sep-99 | Sep-00 | Mar-00 | Mar-95 |
|  | < 20 )\% | (20)\% - (10)\% | (10)\%-0\% | 0\% - 10\% | 10\% - 20\% | 20\% - 30\% | 30\% - 40\% | 40\% + |
| No. of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quarters | 22 | 30 | 58 | 59 | 67 | 29 | 15 | 16 |
| Percent (\%) | 7.4 | 10.1 | 19.6 | 19.9 | 22.6 | 9.8 | 5.1 | 5.4 |

Sources: Standard \& Poor's and Standard \& Poor's Compustat.
Note: Growth represents year-over-year change in trailing earnings.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The much publicized decline in S\&P 500 reported earnings is extraordinary-down an estimated $64.2 \%$ from September 2000 highs. However, Thomson Financial reports consensus earnings growth estimates for operating earnings, not reported (or GAAP) earnings.
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